

**INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
PURE AND APPLIED CHEMISTRY**

**DIVISION OF INORGANIC CHEMISTRY
COMMISSION ON ATOMIC WEIGHTS**

**ATOMIC WEIGHTS
OF THE ELEMENTS
1971**

**LONDON
BUTTERWORTHS**

DIVISION OF INORGANIC CHEMISTRY

COMMISSION ON ATOMIC WEIGHTS†

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

CHANGES IN ATOMIC WEIGHT VALUES

In their *1969 Report*¹ the Commission on Atomic Weights made two important innovations: they indicated the estimated reliability of the quoted values by the mode of printing the last digit, and they added various references to seven footnotes in order further to elaborate or qualify the values given. The values then chosen have not been criticized. On the basis of work published or accepted for publication during the last two years the following changes are now recommended.

Changes in Atomic Weights

Chemical symbol	1969 atomic weight	1971 atomic weight
H	1.008 ₀	1.0079
F	18.9984	18.99840
Na	22.9898	22.98977
Al	26.9815	26.98154
P	30.9738	30.97376
K	39.10 ₂	39.09 ₈
Zn	65.3 ₇	65.3 ₈
Cs	132.9055	132.9054
Ho	164.9303	164.9304
Bi	208.9806	208.9804

Four of these ten new values have implied uncertainties one tenth of those of the 1969 values. Two of the new values have implied uncertainties one third of the 1969 values. Eight of the above changes are within the implied precision of the old values. Two are outside that range by one unit in the last decimal.

The change in the value for *hydrogen* derives from a complex problem. The most likely value relevant to a laboratory sample is 1.00797. Previously the Commission decided to round this off to 1.008₀, the final digit being subscript because the full range of normal hydrogen sources departs by more than

† *Titular Members*: N. N. Greenwood, Chairman (UK); H. S. Peiser, Secretary (USA); A. E. Cameron (USA); S. Fujiwara (Japan); W. H. Johnson (USA); W. W. Meinke (USA); E. Roth (France); A. A. Smales (UK); *Associate Members*: P. DeBièvre (Belgium); G. N. Flerov (USSR); N. E. Holden (USA); H. L. Svec (USA); H. G. Thode (Canada); A. H. Wapstra (The Netherlands).

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

0.0001 from the above value as a result of variations in isotopic abundance. In consequence of the skew distribution of abundances of deuterium in nature the value of 1.0079 encompasses all normal samples whereas the value 1.0080 does not. Added precision can therefore be incorporated by using the value 1.0079 rather than the former value of 1.008₀. Although as a rule the atomic weight values in the table should not be influenced by any variations in isotopic abundance caused by chemical processing, it is worth noting that sometimes hydrogen gas used in laboratories has been depleted of deuterium through electrolysis. The atomic weight of laboratory hydrogen is therefore at times close to 1.0079₀.

The change for *potassium* depends on a new analysis by G. Marinenko² of old chemical data by R. G. Bates and E. Wichers³ which gives additional credence to atomic weight determinations for potassium by chemical methods. The Commission therefore feels that the presently used mass spectroscopic data should not be the only basis for the published atomic weight value. Unfortunately, the two sets of chemical and mass spectroscopic data, whilst being self-consistent within each set, show unexplained discrepancies between the two sets; for this reason the Commission has decided to use a mean value rather than the mass spectroscopic value alone. This reduces the atomic weight of potassium by one part in 10⁴ to 39.09₈.

The new value for *zinc* depends on an accurate new coulometric determination by G. Marinenko and R. T. Foley⁴. Even on conservative estimates of uncertainties and unsuspected bias of the new technique an improvement in the precision of the atomic weight for zinc was possible; this is reflected in the new value of 65.38 in which the final digit is printed on line.

New nuclidic mass data assembled and assessed by A. H. Wapstra and N. B. Gove⁵ have led to improved accuracy of recommended atomic weights of some mononuclidic elements (*fluorine, sodium, aluminium and phosphorus*). Based on the same work, the values for *caesium* and *holmium* were adjusted by one unit in the fourth decimal figure and the value for *bismuth* by two units in the fourth decimal figure.

The Commission points out that the precision with which the atomic weights of mononuclidic elements are quoted in the tables is less than the accuracy claimed for the mass-spectrometrically determined relative atomic masses. This is partly because the precision of relative mass measurements of individual nuclides is often greater than the precision with which it has been established experimentally that minute traces of other long-lived isotopes of that element are absent in naturally occurring samples.

New data on isotopic abundances in unusual geological locations have made it desirable to add the footnote g to the elements *lithium*⁶, *magnesium*⁷, and *calcium*⁷.

Footnote b has been deleted from *neptunium*.

Information on the atomic weight of *technetium* is being withdrawn from the Table of Atomic Weights because the longer-lived isotope ⁹⁷Tc is becoming available in addition to the fission product ⁹⁹Tc. However, data for both isotopes are given in the Table of Relative Atomic Masses of Selected Isotopes.

The Commission has noted that the use of small digits to indicate an uncertainty higher than ± 1 in the last digit has led to difficulties in printing.

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

For this reason the Commission now recommends that subscript digits be used for this purpose.

THE RELATIVE PRECISION OF ATOMIC WEIGHT VALUES

The relative precision with which values of the atomic weights are quoted varies widely (see *Figure 1*). In some instances, low relative precision is a necessary consequence of the known variability of isotopic abundances in normal materials (e.g. lithium, boron, etc.). However, the figure also indicates deficiencies in the data on such elements as titanium, nickel, germanium, molybdenum, palladium, tin, rhenium, and osmium.




Figure 1. Relative uncertainty of the atomic weight values of the elements 1971.

In pointing to the low relative precision of data on these elements the Commission hopes to stimulate research and development work on the use of established and new techniques for redetermination of atomic weights. Calibrated isotopic abundance measurements are especially needed, and provision of standard reference materials of known isotopic abundance would also be found helpful. Renewed attempts might be made to distribute typical homogeneous materials known to be representative of the natural composition of specified elements.

SYMBOLS AND TERMINOLOGY

Simultaneously with the 1969 *Report of the Commission on Atomic Weights*¹, the Commission on Symbols, Terminology and Units of the

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

TABLE OF ATOMIC WEIGHTS 1971

Scaled to the relative atomic mass, $A_r(^{12}\text{C}) = 12$

The values of $A_r(E)$ given here apply to elements as they exist in materials of terrestrial origin and to certain artificial elements. When used with due regard to the footnotes they are considered reliable to ± 1 in the last digit, or ± 3 if that digit is subscript.

Alphabetical Order in English

Name	Symbol	Atomic number	Atomic weight	Name	Symbol	Atomic number	Atomic weight
Actinium	Ac	89	—	Mercury	Hg	80	200.5 ₉
Aluminium	Al	13	26.98154 ^a	Molybdenum	Mo	42	95.9 ₄
Americium	Am	95	—	Neodymium	Nd	60	144.2 ₄
Antimony	Sb	51	121.7 ₅	Neon	Ne	10	20.17 ^c
Argon	Ar	18	39.94 ^{b, c, d, g}	Neptunium	Np	93	237.0482 ^f
Arsenic	As	33	74.9216 ^a	Nickel	Ni	28	58.7 ₁
Astatine	At	85	—	Niobium	Nb	41	92.9064 ^a
Barium	Ba	56	137.3 ₄	Nitrogen	N	7	14.0067 ^{b, c}
Berkelium	Bk	97	—	Nobelium	No	102	—
Beryllium	Be	4	9.01218 ^a	Osmium	Os	76	190.2
Bismuth	Bi	83	208.9804 ^a	Oxygen	O	8	15.999 ₄ ^{b, c, d}
Boron	B	5	10.81 ^{c, d, e}	Palladium	Pd	46	106.4
Bromine	Br	35	79.904 ^c	Phosphorus	P	15	30.97376 ^a
Cadmium	Cd	48	112.40	Platinum	Pt	78	195.0 ₉
Caesium	Cs	55	132.9054 ^a	Plutonium	Pu	94	—
Calcium	Ca	20	40.08 ^s	Polonium	Po	84	—
Californium	Cf	98	—	Potassium	K	19	39.09 ₈
Carbon	C	6	12.011 ^{b, d}	Praseodymium	Pr	59	140.9077 ^a
Cerium	Ce	58	140.12	Promethium	Pm	61	—
Chlorine	Cl	17	35.453 ^c	Protactinium	Pa	91	231.0359 ^f
Chromium	Cr	24	51.996 ^c	Radium	Ra	88	226.0254 ^{d, f, g}
Cobalt	Co	27	58.9332 ^a	Radon	Rn	86	—
Copper	Cu	29	63.54 ^{c, d}	Rhenium	Re	75	186.2
Curium	Cm	96	—	Rhodium	Rh	45	102.9055 ^a
Dysprosium	Dy	66	162.5 ₀	Rubidium	Rb	37	85.467 ^c

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

Einsteinium	99	—	Ruthenium	Ru	101.0 ₇
Erbium	68	167.2 ₆	Samarium	Sm	150.4
Europium	63	151.96	Scandium	Sc	44.9559 ^a
Fermium	100	—	Selenium	Se	78.9 ₆
Fluorine	9	18.99840 ^a	Silicon	Si	28.08 ₆ ^d
Francium	87	—	Silver	Ag	107.868 ^c
Gadolinium	64	157.2 ₅	Sodium	Na	22.98977 ^a
Gallium	31	69.72	Strontium	Sr	87.62 ^s
Germanium	32	72.5 ₉	Sulfur	S	32.06 ^d
Gold	79	196.9665 ^s	Tantalum	Ta	180.947 ₉ ^b
Hafnium	72	178.4 ₉	Technetium	Tc	—
Helium	2	4.00260 ^{b,c}	Tellurium	Te	127.6 ₀
Holmium	67	164.9304 ^a	Terbium	Tb	158.9254 ^a
Hydrogen	1	1.0079 ^{b,d}	Thallium	Tl	204.3 ₇
Indium	49	114.82	Thorium	Th	232.0381 ^f
Iodine	53	126.9045 ^s	Thulium	Tm	168.9342 ^a
Iridium	77	192.2 ₂	Tin	Sn	118.6 ₉
Iron	26	55.84 ₇	Titanium	Ti	47.9 ₀
Krypton	36	83.80	Tungsten	W	183.8 ₅
Lanthanum	57	138.905 ₅ ^b	Uranium	U	238.029 ^{b,c,e}
Lawrencium	103	—	Vanadium	V	50.941 ₄ ^{b,c}
Lead	82	207.2 ^{d,s}	Wolfgram	W	183.8 ₅
Lithium	3	6.94 ^{c,d,e,g}	Xenon	Xe	131.30
Lutetium	71	174.97	Ytterbium	Yb	173.0 ₄
Magnesium	12	24.305 ^{e,s}	Yttrium	Y	88.9059 ^a
Manganese	25	54.9380 ^s	Zinc	Zn	65.38
Mendelevium	101	—	Zirconium	Zr	91.22

^a Element with only one stable nuclide.
^b Element with one predominant isotope (about 99 to 100 per cent abundance); errors in abundance determinations have a correspondingly small effect on the confidence in the value of $A_r(E)$.
^c Element for which the value of $A_r(E)$ derives its reliability from calibrated measurements (i.e. from comparisons with synthetic mixtures of known isotopic composition).
^d Element for which known variations in isotopic abundance in terrestrial material prevent a more precise atomic weight being given; $A_r(E)$ values should be applicable to any 'normal' material.
^e Element for which the value of A_r may be found in commercially available products that differ from the tabulated value of $A_r(E)$ because of inadvertent or undisclosed changes of isotopic composition.
^f Element for which the value of A_r is that of the most commonly available long-lived nuclide.
^g Element for which geological specimens are known in which the element has an anomalous isotopic composition.

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

TABLE OF ATOMIC WEIGHTS 1971

Scaled to the relative atomic mass, $A_r(^{12}\text{C}) = 12$

The values of $A_r(\text{E})$ given here apply to elements as they exist in materials of terrestrial origin and to certain artificial elements. When used with due regard to the footnotes they are considered reliable to ± 1 in the last digit, or ± 3 if that digit is subscript.

Order of Atomic Number

Atomic number	Name	Symbol	Atomic weight	Atomic number	Name	Symbol	Atomic weight
1	Hydrogen	H	1.0079 ^{b,d}	53	Iodine	I	126.9045 ^a
2	Helium	He	4.00260 ^{b,c}	54	Xenon	Xe	131.30
3	Lithium	Li	6.94 ^{c,d,e,g}	55	Caesium	Cs	132.9054 ^a
4	Beryllium	Be	9.01218 ^a	56	Barium	Ba	137.3 ₄
5	Boron	B	10.81 ^{c,d,e}	57	Lanthanum	La	138.905 ^b
6	Carbon	C	12.011 ^{b,d}	58	Cerium	Ce	140.12
7	Nitrogen	N	14.0067 ^{b,e}	59	Praseodymium	Pr	140.9077 ^a
8	Oxygen	O	15.999 ^{a,b,c,d}	60	Neodymium	Nd	144.2 ₄
9	Fluorine	F	18.99840 ^a	61	Promethium	Pm	—
10	Neon	Ne	20.17 ^c	62	Samarium	Sm	150.4
11	Sodium	Na	22.98977 ^a	63	Europium	Eu	151.96
12	Magnesium	Mg	24.305 ^{c,s}	64	Gadolinium	Gd	157.2 ₅
13	Aluminium	Al	26.98154 ^a	65	Terbium	Tb	158.9254 ^a
14	Silicon	Si	28.08 ^d	66	Dysprosium	Dy	162.5 ₀
15	Phosphorus	P	30.97376 ^a	67	Holmium	Ho	164.9304 ^a
16	Sulfur	S	32.06 ^d	68	Erbium	Er	167.2 ₆
17	Chlorine	Cl	35.453 ^c	69	Thulium	Tm	168.9342 ^a
18	Argon	Ar	39.94 ^{b,c,d,s}	70	Ytterbium	Yb	173.0 ₄
19	Potassium	K	39.09	71	Lutetium	Lu	174.97
20	Calcium	Ca	40.08 ^f	72	Hafnium	Hf	178.4 ₉
21	Scandium	Sc	44.9559 ^a	73	Tantalum	Ta	180.947 ^b
22	Titanium	Ti	47.9	74	Wolfram (Tungsten)	W	183.8 ₅

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

23 Vanadium	V	50.941 ₄ ^{b, c}	75 Rhenium	Re	186.2
24 Chromium	Cr	51.996 ^c	76 Osmium	Os	190.2
25 Manganese	Mn	54.9380 ^a	77 Iridium	Ir	192.2 ₂
26 Iron	Fe	55.84 ₇	78 Platinum	Pt	195.0 ₈
27 Cobalt	Co	58.9332 ^a	79 Gold	Au	196.9665 ^a
28 Nickel	Ni	58.7 ₁ ^{c, d}	80 Mercury	Hg	200.5 ₉
29 Copper	Cu	63.546 ^{c, d}	81 Thallium	Tl	204.3 ₇
30 Zinc	Zn	65.38	82 Lead	Pb	207.2 ^{d, g}
31 Gallium	Ga	69.72	83 Bismuth	Bi	208.9804 ^a
32 Germanium	Ge	72.5 ₆	84 Polonium	Po	—
33 Arsenic	As	74.9216 ^a	85 Astatine	At	—
34 Selenium	Se	78.9 ₆	86 Radon	Rn	—
35 Bromine	Br	79.904 ^c	87 Francium	Fr	—
36 Krypton	Kr	83.80	88 Radium	Ra	226.0254 ^{f, g}
37 Rubidium	Rb	85.467 ₈ ^c	89 Actinium	Ac	—
38 Strontium	Sr	87.62 ^g	90 Thorium	Th	232.0381 ^f
39 Yttrium	Y	88.9059 ^a	91 Protactinium	Pa	231.0359 ^f
40 Zirconium	Zr	91.22	92 Uranium	U	238.029 ^{b, c, e}
41 Niobium	Nb	92.9064 ^a	93 Neptunium	Np	237.0482 ^f
42 Molybdenum	Mo	95.9 ₄	94 Plutonium	Pu	—
43 Technetium	Tc	—	95 Americium	Am	—
44 Ruthenium	Ru	101.0 ₇	96 Curium	Cm	—
45 Rhodium	Rh	102.9055 ^a	97 Berkelium	Bk	—
46 Palladium	Pd	106.4	98 Californium	Cf	—
47 Silver	Ag	107.868 ^c	99 Einsteinium	Es	—
48 Cadmium	Cd	112.40	100 Fermium	Fm	—
49 Indium	In	114.82	101 Mendeleevium	Md	—
50 Tin	Sn	118.6 ₉	102 Nobelium	No	—
51 Antimony	Sb	121.7 ₅	103 Lawrencium	Lr	—
52 Tellurium	Te	127.6 ₀			

^a Element with only one stable nuclide.

^b Element with one predominant isotope (about 99 to 100 per cent abundance); errors in abundance determinations have a correspondingly small effect on the confidence in the value of $A_r(E)$.

^c Element for which the value of $A_r(E)$ derives its reliability from calibrated measurements (i.e. from comparisons with synthetic mixtures of known isotopic composition).

^d Element for which known variations in isotopic abundance in terrestrial material prevent a more precise atomic weight being given: $A_r(E)$ values should be applicable to any 'normal' material.

^e Element for which values of A_r may be found in commercially available products that differ from the tabulated value of $A_r(E)$ because of inadvertent or undisclosed changes of isotopic composition.

^f Element for which the value of A_r is that of the most commonly available long-lived nuclide.

^g Element for which geological specimens are known in which the element has an anomalous isotopic composition.

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS
TABLE OF RELATIVE ATOMIC MASSES OF SELECTED NUCLIDES

Name	Symbol	Atomic number	Mass number	Relative atomic mass	Half-life*	
Hydrogen (Deuterium) (Tritium)	H	1	1	1.007825	12.33	a
	(D)	1	2	2.014102		
	(T)	1	3	3.016050		
Helium	He	2	3	3.016030		
Lithium	Li	3	4	4.00260		
			6	6.01512		
Boron	B	5	7	7.01600		
			10	10.01294		
			11	11.00931		
Carbon	C	6	12	12 Exactly	5730	a
			13	13.003355		
			14	14.00324		
Nitrogen	N	7	14	14.003074		
			15	15.00011		
			16	15.994915		
Oxygen	O	8	17	16.999133		
			18	17.99916		
			24	23.98504		
Magnesium	Mg	12	25	24.98584		
			26	25.98259		
			28	27.97693		
Silicon	Si	14	29	28.97650		
			30	29.97377		
			32	31.97207		
Sulfur	S	16	33	32.97146		
			34	33.96787		
			36	35.96708		
Argon	Ar	18	36	35.96755		
			38	37.96273		
			40	39.96238		
Calcium	Ca	20	40	39.96259		
			42	41.9586		
			43	42.9588		
			44	43.9555		
			46	45.9537		
Copper	Cu	29	48	47.9525		
			63	62.9296		
			65	64.9278		
Strontium	Sr	38	84	83.9134		
			86	85.9093		
			87	86.9089		
Technetium	Tc	43	88	87.9056		
			97	96.9064	2.6 × 10 ⁶	a
			99	98.9062	2.13 × 10 ⁵	a
Promethium	Pm	61	145	144.9128	18	a
			147	146.9152	2.6234	a

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

Name	Symbol	Atomic number	Mass number	Relative atomic mass	Half-life*	
Lead	Pb	82	204	203.9730		
			206	205.9745		
			207	206.9759		
			208	207.9767		
Polonium	Po	84	209	208.9824	102	a
			210	209.9829	138.38	d
Astatine	At	85	210	209.987	8.1	hr
Radon	Rn	86	222	222.0176	3.824	d
Francium	Fr	87	223	223.0198	22	min
Radium	Ra	88	226	226.0254	1.60×10^3	a
Actinium	Ac	89	227	227.0278	21.77	a
Thorium	Th	90	232	232.0381	1.40×10^{10}	a
Protactinium	Pa	91	231	231.0359	3.25×10^4	a
Uranium	U	92	233	233.0397	1.59×10^5	a
			234	234.0410	2.44×10^5	a
			235	235.0439	7.10×10^8	a
			236	236.0456	2.42×10^7	a
			238	238.0508	4.49×10^9	a
Neptunium	Np	93	237	237.0482	2.14×10^6	a
Plutonium	Pu	94	238	238.0496	87.8	a
			239	239.0522	2.439×10^4	a
			240	240.0538	6.54×10^3	a
			241	241.0569	15	a
			242	242.0588	3.87×10^5	a
			244	244.0642	8.3×10^7	a
Americium	Am	95	241	241.0568	433	a
Curium	Cm	96	243	243.0614	7.37×10^3	a
			242	242.0589	163	d
			243	243.0614	28	a
			244	244.0628	17.9	a
			245	245.0655	8.7×10^3	a
			246	246.0672	4.65×10^3	a
			247	247.0704	1.54×10^7	a
			248	248.0724	3.4×10^5	a
Berkelium	Bk	97	250	250.0784	1.1×10^4	a
			247	247.0703	1.4×10^3	a
			249	249.0750	311	d
Californium	Cf	98	251	251.0796	900	a
			252	252.0817	2.64	a
			254	254.0874	60	d
Einsteinium	Es	99	253	253.0848	20.47	d
			254	254.0881	276	d
Fermium	Fm	100	257	257.0951	82	d
Mendelevium	Md	101	257	257.0956	5	hr
			258	not given	55	d
Nobelium	No	102	255	255.0933	3.2	min
Lawrencium	Lr	103	256	256.0986	35	s

* a = year; d = day; hr = hour; min = minute; s = second

Division of Physical Chemistry of IUPAC published its *Manual of Symbols and Terminology for Physicochemical Quantities and Units*⁸. Section III on the Explanation of Terms published with the former *Report*¹ should be considered superseded by the recommendations of the latter⁸. In particular the term 'atomic weight of an element' is an alternative for the term 'relative atomic mass of an element' which is 'the ratio of the average mass per atom of a natural nuclidic composition of an element to $\frac{1}{12}$ of the mass of an atom of nuclide ¹²C. Example: $A_r(\text{Cl}) = 34.453$. The concept of relative atomic mass may be extended to other specified nuclidic compositions, but the natural nuclidic composition is assumed unless some other composition is specified.' Readers should note especially that atomic weights are thus dimensionless numbers.

The values of $A_r(\text{E})$ given in the Table of Atomic Weights apply to elements as they exist in 'normal materials'. A 'normal material' is one that contains as a major constituent a specified element with an atomic weight value that does not display a significant difference from the accepted value of that atomic weight because of:

- (i) its radiogenic source;
- (ii) its extraterrestrial origin;
- (iii) artificial isotopic fractionation;
- (iv) artificial nuclear reaction;
- (v) a rare geological occurrence in small quantity.

RELATIVE ATOMIC MASSES OF SELECTED NUCLIDES

In this 1971 *Report* the Commission has expanded the Table of Relative Atomic Masses of Selected Nuclides (formerly called Table of Atomic Masses of Selected Isotopes) to include the following: (i) all stable isotopes of elements whose isotopic composition is known to vary in nature or to have been varied extensively as a result of artificial processes, that is all those elements that are given the footnotes d, e or g in the Table of Atomic Weights; and (ii) all those radioactive nuclides previously included in the 1969 Tables of Selected Radioactive Isotopes and of Atomic Masses of Selected Isotopes (with the exception of ¹⁴³Bm). In this way users can calculate the atomic weight characteristic of specific samples of known isotopic contents. The publication of the Table of Selected Radioactive Isotopes is discontinued but the half-life values of the radioisotopes are included in the Table of Relative Atomic Masses of Selected Nuclides. These half-lives are taken from a recently assembled and critically evaluated compilation by N. E. Holden and F. W. Walker⁹.

REFERENCES

- ¹ IUPAC Commission on Atomic Weights, 'Atomic Weights of the Elements 1969', *Pure Appl. Chem.* **21**, 95 (1970).
- ² G. Marinenko, *Talanta*, **16**, 1339 (1969).
- ³ R. G. Bates and E. Wichers, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.* **59**, 9 (1957).
- ⁴ G. Marinenko and R. T. Foley, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.* **75A**, 561 (1971).
- ⁵ A. H. Wapstra and N. B. Gove, *Atomic Mass Table, Nuclear Data Tables* **9**, 265 (1971).

ATOMIC WEIGHTS OF THE ELEMENTS

- ⁶ Yu. A. Isokov, G. S. Plyusnin and S. B. Brandt, *Geokhimiya*, **6**, 748 (1969).
- ⁷ V. S. Lepin, G. S. Plyusnin and S. B. Brandt, *Ezheg. Inst. Geokhim. Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSR*, 1968, pp 267–271 (published 1969).
- ⁸ IUPAC Commission on Symbols, Terminology and Units, *Manual of Symbols and Terminology for Physicochemical Quantities and Units*, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **21**, 7 (1970).
- ⁹ N. E. Holden and F. W. Walker, *Chart of Nuclides*, Educational Relations, General Electric Company, Schenectady, NY 12305 (1971).